

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**  
**DELHI RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT 2001**

**PUBLIC GRIEVANCES COMMISSION**  
**Govt. of National Capital Territory of Delhi**

**Date of hearing:** 8<sup>th</sup> January, 2018

Appellant : **Shri Manoj Kumar Sharma,**

Competent Authority : **Deputy Commissioner (Central),**  
Revenue Department,  
Govt. of NCT of Delhi,  
14, Daryaganj,  
New Delhi – 110002

Appeal No. : 517/2016/PGC/DRI/Rev.  
Application filed on : 07/10 2016  
Response of Competent Authority : Information not provided to the appellant.  
Appeal filed on : 21/ 11/ 2016  
First hearing in PGC, Scheduled : 20/02/ 2017

**1. Brief facts of the Appeal**

Shri Manoj Kumar Sharma filed Form-A during October, 2016, under the Delhi RTI Act, 2001, with the Competent Authority i.e. Deputy Commissioner (Central), Revenue Department, seeking information on total 8 counts.

Shri Manoj Kumar Sharma did not receive a response from the Office of Deputy Commissioner (Central), Revenue Department, hence, he filed an Appeal during November, 2016, before the Appellate Authority / PGC, under Section 7 of the Delhi Right to Information Act, 2001.

**2. Proceedings in the Public Grievances Commission**

The Public Grievances Commission has so far convened four hearings on 20<sup>th</sup> February, 2017, 15<sup>th</sup> May, 2017, 18<sup>th</sup> September, 2017 and 8<sup>th</sup> January, 2018. At the hearing on 08/01/2018, the attendance was as follows:

Appellant : Absent  
Respondent : Sh. Akhil Kumar, SDM (Kotwali)

### 3. **Relevant facts emerging during the hearing**

3.1 At hearing on 18/09/2017, directions issued by PGS are as under:-

**“Divisional Commissioner-cum-Secretary (Revenue), Govt. of Delhi shall direct the District Magistrate (Central) / Competent Authority to ensure that the requisite information is furnished on the next date of hearing, failing which the Commission shall be constrained to pass adverse orders against the Competent Authority.**

The reply should be furnished to the appellant under the signatures of Competent Authority, properly stamped, having the name of the Competent Authority (documents, if any, appended with the reply should be certified either by the Competent Authority or an official deputed by the Competent Authority).

A senior representative shall be deputed to attend the next hearing in this appeal case, with a reply (in duplicate)”.

3.2 During the hearing on 08/01/2018, though the appellant was not present Sh. Akhil Kumar, SDM (Kotwali) submitted a reply dated 04/01/2018, which is placed in the file.

3.3 The reply furnished by the department has been perused and it is found that the department has provided satisfactory information to the queries raised by the appellant in his DRTI application.

### 4. **Directions of the Appellate Authority / PGC**

4.1 Since the department has provided satisfactory reply to the queries of the appellant, no further action needs to be taken in this regard. Accordingly, the present appeal case is ordered to be disposed of before the Appellate Authority / Member (PGC).

4.2 As the appellant is not present in the hearing today, a copy of the reply submitted by the department shall be sent to him alongwith this hearing order.

**(SUDHIR YADAV)**  
**APPELLATE AUTHORITY / MEMBER (PGC)**

Copy to:

1. Deputy Commissioner (Central), Revenue Department, Govt. of NCT of Delhi, 14, Daryaganj, New Delhi – 110002
2. Shri Manoj Kumar Sharma